01			
02			
03			
04			
	LA HANDA CALLANDA DICANDICAN COLUMN		
05	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
06	AT SEATTLE		
07	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. MJ 18 - 049		
08	Plaintiff,		
09	v.) DETENTION ORDER		
10	BRADFORD MARSELAS JOHNSON,)		
11	Defendant.		
12)		
13	Offenses charged in Complaint:		
14	Count 1 – Robbery, delaying obstructing and affecting commerce		
15	Count 2 – Possession, use and carrying of a firearm in furtherance		
16	of a crime of violence		
17	{Note: it is not entirely clear whether the Complaint also charges this defendant in Count 3.		
18	That count charges another defendant with aiding and abetting defendant in the offense		
19	charged in Count 2. But Count 3 also alleges this defendant "brandished" the firearm.]		
20			
21	Date of Detention Hearing: February 15, 2018.		
22	The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and		
	DETENTION ORDER PAGE -1		

based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- (1) Defendant is charged with committing an armed robbery at a cannabis store. The United States displayed a surveillance video of the robbery. It showed a person alleged to be defendant (and the defense did not deny the identification) brandishing an assault weapon and pointing it at the store employees. This was extremely dangerous conduct.
- (2) The United States showed a video of a very similar armed robbery in 2015, for which defendant was convicted in state court of first degree robbery and sentenced to imprisonment.
- (3) After serving imprisonment on that conviction, defendant was released on state court supervision. The armed robbery charged in the present case occurred less than a month after defendant's release, and while he was on supervision.
- (4) The state supervising officer reported that defendant had not been living where he was directed, and had been very irregular in his attendance at the counseling class which was part of his supervision program.
- (5) These facts establish the defendant, if released, would pose a severe danger to other persons and the community.

[The court has not relied upon the rebuttable presumption, because probable cause has not yet been established to support the charges against defendant. There has not yet been either a 02 03 Preliminary Hearing on the Complaint, or the return of an Indictment]. 04 05 06 07 It is therefore ORDERED: 08 1. Defendant shall be detained pending further proceedings and committed to the custody 09 of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent 10 practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody 11 12 pending appeal; 2. Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with 13 14 counsel: 15 16 3. On order of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver 17 the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in 18 19 connection with a court proceeding; 20 21 22

DETENTION ORDER

01	4.	The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to counsel
02		for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial
03		Services Officer.
04		
05	·	DATED this 15th day of Fohmom 2019
06		DATED this 15th day of February, 2018.
07		John L. Weinberg United States Magistrate Judge
08		Officed States Magistrate Judge
09		
10		
11		
12	·	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	1	

DETENTION ORDER PAGE -4